United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,272	04/19/2004	Kazuhiro Fujii	SN-US045038	9912
. 22919 7590 12/21/2006 GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP			EXAMINER	
1233 20TH ST	REET, NW, SUITE 70		LUONG, VINH	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3682	
	•			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		12/21/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/826,272	FUJII, KAZUHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vinh T. Luong	3682			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	_l	ith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply		CALTURE OF THEFTY (ON PANO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a r will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u>	ebruary 2006.				
, 	·				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		·			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	·				
 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or 	election requirement				
o) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or	·				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received $M \cap \Lambda$			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot	Hann			
		Vinh T. Luong			
		Primary Examiner			
Attachment(s)	∧ □ 1-1::-··· (Summany (BTO 412)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/27/04.	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/826,272

Art Unit: 3682

1. The preliminary amendment filed on February 6, 2006 has been entered.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the species of Figs. 1-16, the species of Figs. 17 and 18, the species of Figs. 19-21, and the species of Figs. 22-24. The species are independent or distinct because the species are materially different in design. Further, the species as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter, i.e., are mutually exclusive and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Application/Control Number: 10/826,272

Art Unit: 3682

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. David L. Tarnoff on December 18, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vinh T. Luong whose telephone number is 571-272-7109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on 571-272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Luong

December 18, 2006

Vinh T. Luong
Primary Examiner